

20.07.2026

Present : Mr. Dheeraj Pandey, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Mr. Rahul Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1, through VC.
None for defendant no. 2, 3 and 4.

Case is returned from mediation.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 submits his complete unawareness as to the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC. It is contrary to record since copy of application was already supplied to his associate present in the court on last date. Hence, no opportunity is granted to defendant no. 1 to file reply to the said application. Copies for defendant no. 3 and defendant no. 4 are also still lying on record as not collected. It seems that the application is not intended to be responded by defendant no. 3 and defendant no. 4 as well.

Despite service, defendant no. 2 is not appearing, so **defendant no. 2 is hereby proceeded exparte.**

Arguments on application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC are heard.

Record perused.

Amendments are intended to be made on impleadment of defendant no. 3, 4 and 5 in application moved by the plaintiff which has already been allowed. Hence, considering that it would allow the plaintiff to present his case completely, application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC is allowed. Amended plaint is taken on record. **Let amended WS by defendant no. 1 and WS to this amended plaint be filed by defendant no. 3 and 4 within 30 days after supplying copy to the opposite counsel. Thereafter, replication be filed in the like manner.**

Put up for appearance of parties, admission / denial of documents and for consideration on issues for 23.11.2026.

(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi/20.07.2026 (jy)