

13.05.2019

Present : Ms. Hetal, Ld. counsel for plaintiff.

Sh.Vikesh Rathi, Ld. counsel for defendant.

Arguments heard on application under Order XII Rule 3 A CPC.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that for admission and denial of documents some documents are required, which are with the husband of the defendant no. 1, so she is not able to conduct admission-denial of documents.

Ld. counsel for the defendant has raised objections stating that Ms.Manju could not be allowed to admit or deny the documents as the documents sought for admission and denial are forged and never executed by her husband.

Heard. Perused the record.

The purpose of admission denial of documents is to restrict that much of evidence which can be availed without going into the trial. If the plaintiff has alleged that the documents were executed by the husband of the Ms.Manju, she can be asked to admit or deny the documents.

Hence, the application is allowed and plaintiff is directed to bring all the documents on the next date of hearing.

One application under Order I Rule 10 CPC has been filed on behalf of Ms. Indrawati as proposed defendant. Copy supplied to the counsel for plaintiff as well as defendants No. 1 to 3.

Parties are directed to file reply by serving the advance copy to the opposite counsel atleast 15 days prior to the next date of hearing.

Put up for **admission denial of documents/ PE and arguments on application under Order I Rule 10 CPC on 23.09.2019.**

(Shivaji Anand)
ADJ-02 (North): Rohini Courts:
Delhi: 13.05.2019