

LAC No.1653/16

UOI VS. Krishan Kumar

18.04.2018.

Present: IP No.5 in person along with husband of IP No.9 with
Ld.counsel Sh.Kuldeep Sharma.
Sh.V.P.Rana, counsel for IP No.4 with IP no.4
Sh. Ravinder Dabas proxy counsel for IP no.1 to 3 with
IP no.1 in person.

IP no.5 is present for his examination but Sh.Rana submits that previously he was representing IP no.4, 5 and 9 and now IP no.5 and 9 have changed the counsel. He requests a discharge on the ground that it will be difficult for him to cross-examine IP no.5 and 9 whom he had earlier represented.

Counsel for IP 5 and 9 submits that there is no objection to the cross-examination of IP 5 and 9 by Sh.Rana because they voluntarily changed the counsel and nothing privilege was shared with Sh. Rana except the facts of the respective cases.

In view of the no objection give by IP 5 and 9, I am finding no breach of ethics if Sh.Rana continues. Sh.Rana has accordingly agreed to continue as counsel for IP No.4.

IP no.5 examined and cross-examined on behalf of IP No.4. The cross-examination on behalf of IP no.1,2 and 3 deferred on the ground that there has been some bereavement in the family of main counsel.

Put up for remaining cross-examination of IP 5 and also of IP No.9 on **19.05.2018.**

(Neeraj Gaur)
ADJ-1(North)/Delhi/18.04.2018