

LAC 1619/16
UNION OF INDIA (HOLAMBI KALAN)
Vs.
GRAM SABHA HOLAMBI KALAN (1505-06)

07.09.2022

Present: Sh. S.K. Sangwan, Ld. counsel for IP no.1.
Sh. Jai Subhash Thakur, Ld. counsel for IP no.2.
Sh. Vikas Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for IP no.6.
IP no.8 Sh. Naresh Kumar in person.
Sh. Kanchan, LR of Sh. Ram Chander.

Today the case is fixed for arguments but Ld. counsel for IP no.2 has submitted that he had applied for certified copy of the entire file but the certified copy has not been supplied to him.

The court has called the Clerk concerned who has submitted that the counsel for IP no.2 has applied for the entire file and there are approximately 1800 pages and he has deposited only Rs.500/- and because of objection, the certified copy of the entire file could not be supplied to IP no.2.

The court has asked the counsel for IP no.2 to deposit the deficit amount and the counsel for the IP no.2 has deposited a further amount of Rs.3,000/- and a total sum of Rs.3500/- has been paid (including Rs.500/- already deposited) and still a sum of Rs.5,500/- is deficit.

Incharge Copy Agency is directed to supply the certified copy of the entire file to the counsel for IP no.2 within three days and if there is deficient court fees, then, Incharge Copy Agency is directed to inform the Ld. counsel for the IP no.2 to deposit the fees.

contd-2-

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for IP no.2 that he has to challenge the order dated 04.08.2022 by which the cost was imposed upon him and because of non-supply of certified copy, he could not challenge the order of this court before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

As per rules, any advocate can challenge any order of the court before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and there is no requirement of the certified copy and the same could only be filed by filing the affidavit by the counsel for the petitioner mentioning true copy of the order which are available on the net and it appears that these are only the delay tactics.

Be put up on 13.09.2022 at 2.00 pm for final arguments. Last opportunity granted. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted to any of the parties at any cost. The cost imposed upon the IP no.2 has not been deposited till date.

(Satish Kumar)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/07.09.2022