

Ct. Cases 178/2020
**TATA Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. Vs.
KUMARI DEVI**

03/12/2025

Present : **Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.**
 Sh. Satish Kumar, A R of the complainant company
 along with Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Manager (Legal) for
 complainant company/ TPDDL.
 Sh. Ashish Balyan, Ld. LAC for the accused along with
 accused.

At this stage, an oral request has been made by the Ld. Counsel for complainant company seeking substitution of Sh. Satish Sharma as new AR of the complainant company in place of the earlier AR Sh. B.B. Gogia who has left his services from the complainant company. The copy of P.O.A. in favour of Sh. Satish Sharma has been filed. Same be taken on record.

The above mentioned request has not been opposed by the Ld. LAC for the accused.

Heard. In view of the submission made, request of the complainant company is allowed and Sh. Satish Sharma is substituted as new AR of the complainant company.

PW-7 Sh. Vikas & PW-8 Sh. Satish Sharma examined, cross-examined and discharged.

At this stage, the complainant company has filed an application under Section 311 Cr.P.C.

It is stated in the application that the witness who has passed the speaking order i.e. Sh. Adarsh Kumar has already left the complainant company and complainant company wants to examine Sh. B.L. Gupta in his place in the instant matter. Ld. Counsel for the complainant company requests that in the interest of justice the present application may be allowed.

Contd.....

- : 2 :-

The said application is not opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the accused.

The witnesses are necessary for the proper adjudication of the present case. Accordingly, the instant application stands allowed.

Let Sh. B.L. Gupta be summoned through complainant company for the NDOH.

Put up for PE on 15.12.2025.

**(PRASHANT KUMAR)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/ 03/12/2025**