

5 SC 103/2017  
STATE Vs. SADDAM @ MUCHHAD  
591/2016  
(Seelam Pur)

27.02.2026

Present: None for the state.  
Accused Imran in person.  
Accused Saddam @ Muchhad is produced produced from JC.  
Accused Waseem is absent and stated to be already expired.  
Sh. Akbar Ali surety for accused Waseem is also present.  
PW SI Naveen is absent.

On the LDOH death verification of accused Waseem was directed to be filed through SHO condnrned.

Today a report has been filed on behalf of SHO PS Seelampur.

Perusal of record reveals that for the purpose of verification of factum of death of accused Waseem when Ct. Ankit visited the house of accused, he met with his wife who informed that accused Waseem has already been murdered on 30.12.2015. Further she also provided a burial slip pertaining to the last rites of accused. However, she could not produce any death certificate in relation to the death of accused stating that the same is yet to be received from the concerned authority.

In view of the abovesaid report, let the factum of the death of accused Waseem be again directed to be verified from the concerned PS where the releveant FIR in relation to his murder is registered.

The report be called through SHO PS Seelampur for NDOH.

Put up for filing of report and abovesaid proceedings on **22.04.2026**.

Let, bailable warrant be issued against witness PW IO SI Naveen Kumar in the sum of Rs. 5000/- to be executed through IO/SHO concerned.

(BALWINDER SINGH)  
ASJ (FTC)/North-  
East/KKD Courts/  
Delhi/27.02.2026