

SC No. 417/2018
State Vs. Rahish Ahmad & Ors.
FIR No. 375/2018
PS: Gokal Puri

16.01.2024

Present: Sh. Masood Ahmad, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
All the three accused produced in JC.
Sh. R. K. Kochar, Ld. Counsel for the accused
Arvind @ Binda.
Sh. Izharul Haque, proxy counsel for the accused
Rahis Ahmad.
Sh. Farukh Ahmad, Ld. Counsel for the accused
Abid.

The matter is fixed for evidence of the prosecution.

Notices issued to IO and MHC(M) are received back with reports 'served', whereas, notice issued to witness Sadiq is received back with report 'unserved'.

This time notice to witness Sadiq was ordered to be served through the Commissioner of Police, Delhi, but, this court has received a letter written by the DCP, North-East, which reveals that despite of efforts, summon could not be served to Sadiq. The DCP, North-East has written a letter to this court, wherein, it is mentioned that the SHO police station Gokal Puri has been directed to appear in this court and now ACP and SHO have been directed to inspect the police file and judicial file and consult the IO to find more clue about the witness Sadiq.

Today, on calling the case, IO/Insp. Jagdish Yadav and Insp. Parveen Kumar, the SHO PS Gokal Puri have appeared and sought some more time to serve this witness Sadiq. The Ld. Counsel for the accused have submitted that the opportunity of the prosecution to examine witness Sadiq be closed.

In the interest of justice, another last and final opportunity is granted to the IO and the SHO to serve the witness Sadiq.

Fresh notice is ordered to be issued to witness Sadiq for the next date of hearing. Same is ordered to be served through the IO/SHO.

Since, no PW is present.

Accordingly, the matter stands adjourned for evidence of the prosecution. PWs be summoned for the next date of hearing. To come upon **12.02.2024**. IO and MHC(M) are also ordered to be summoned.

(PAWAN KUMAR MATTO)
Addl. Sessions Judge (Special Judge NDPS)
North East/KKD Courts/Delhi/16.01.2024