

Hearing through Video Conferencing by Cisco Webex Meeting App

SC No. 417/2018  
State Vs. Rahish Ahmad  
FIR No. 375/2018  
PS: Gokul Puri

25.11.2020

Present: Sh. Ashok Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
None has joined the proceedings on behalf of the accused.

In view of the advisory of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the matters at the stage of evidence are not being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing hence, no witness has been summoned for today.

It is apprised to the court that the bail application filed on behalf of accused Rahish Ahmad sent by jail authorities to DLSA is received through mail. In the said bail application, it is averred that no charge sheet has been filed in the matter and that the applicant is not aware about the status of the case proceedings. The application is also not supported with duly sworn affidavit in compliance of judgement dated 02-02-2007 in case Vivek Pathak vs State BA 176 of 2007 and judgement dated 14-07-2020 in case Rahul Matta vs State BA 3090/2019 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. The applicant is at liberty to file a fresh bail application with the correct particulars/facts and with the supporting affidavit.

Put up on **04.02.2021** for appearance of the accused persons as well as for PE. Copy of this order be sent to the jail authorities to apprise the applicant about the facts of the bail application.

**(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)**  
**Special Judge (NDPS)/ASJ**  
**North East / Delhi 25.11.2020**