

6 SC 364/2018
STATE Vs. NAEEM @ NAEEMA
FIR No. 636/2015
PS Seelam Pur

04.03.2025

Present: Sh. Kamal Akhter, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Ms. Shikha Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Dilshad Ali,
Ld. Counsel for accused Rizwan.
Sh. Ashish Verma, Ld. Counsel for accused Naeem @
Naeema alongwith accused.
Accused Rizwan is absent.

Today the matter is fixed for addressing final arguments.

An application is moved by the Ld. Counsel for the accused Rizwan seeking exemption from personal appearance on the ground that he is suffering from pain in the abdomen. The application is not supported with any medical documents to substantiate the averments.

The present application is allowed subject to the applicant/accused filing the medical documents on or before the NDOH, failing which cost of Rs. 2,000/- shall be imposed upon the accused/applicant.

Perusal of the record reflects that accused Rizwan has not filed his bail bonds u/s 437-A Cr.PC till date. Let, the same be filed within one week from today.

The bail bond filed u/s 437-A Cr.PC on behalf of accused Naeem @ Naeema have been received back unverified. It is submitted by the surety Sabeena Begum that owing to bereavement in her family, she was not present at home and that is why the concerned police official could not verify the surety bond.

The IO/SHO is directed to reverify the bail bonds/surety bond u/s 437-A Cr.PC on behalf of accused Naeem @ Naeema within one week from today.

Re-list for addressing final arguments on **14.04.2025**.

(ATUL AHLAWAT)
ASJ (FTC)/North-
East/KKD Courts/
Delhi/04.03.2025