

SC364/2018
STATE Vs. NAEEM @ NAEEMA
FIR no. 636 /2015
PS Seelam Pur

13.03.2024

Present: Sh. Harvinder Kumar Nar, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Naeem @ Nema is present, on bail.
Accused Rizwan is absent, though on bail.
Sh. Dilshad Ali, Ld. Counsel for accused Rizwan.
Sh. Ashish Verma, Ld. Counsel for accused Naeem.
PW IO / Insp. Rizwan Khan is present.

Exemption application is moved on behalf of accused Rizwan without any supporting documents. Perused. Same is allowed for today only subject to the condition that accused Rizwan shall not dispute his identity, with strict directions to the accused to appear on the NDOH.

PW IO / Insp. Rizwan Khan has been examined in chief as PW-6, cross-examined and discharged.

At this stage, it has been pointed out by Ld. APP for the State that inadvertently PW-4 has been given to two witnesses i.e. one nodal officer of Vodafone and PW Abdul Gayyur.

In view of the submissions, it is now clarified that the testimony of PW Abdul Gayyur shall be read as PW-5 instead of PW-4. The said error stands rectified.

Ld. PP for the State submits that no other witness remains to be examined.

Heard. Since, no witness remains to be examined, **PE is closed.**

Put up for recording SA of accused persons u/s 313 Cr.P.C of **03.05.2024.**

(Ankita Lal)
ASJ /(FTC)N.E. KKD, Delhi
13.03.2024