

CS 295/18  
SANJEEV TYAGI Vs. JAI PRAKASH

22.04.2025

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff through V.C with P-2 in person.  
Ld. Counsel for defendant through V.C.

Plaintiff, on 25.03.2025 has moved an application to bring on record LRs of defendant Jai Prakash. Copy already supplied.

Perusal of application shows that instead of writing the name of **Jai Prakash** (the deceased defendant) name of one **Smt. Prem Gupta** appears in the application. Counsel for plaintiff submits that the same is typographical error and actually the defendant Jai Prakash is the one whose LRs are to be brought on record by virtue of the present application.

Counsel for deceased defendant Jai Prakash submits that the names of LRs of Jai Prakash are correctly mentioned in the application and he shall soon file vakalatnama on behalf of all the LRs of Late Jai Prakash. He further submits that he has no objection in case the said application is allowed.

Accordingly, in the present application reference to **Smt. Prem Gupta** be read as reference to **Jai Prakash and considering the nature of suit,** the right to sue in this case survives qua the LRs of Jai Prakash. Accordingly, the present application is allowed. Amended memo filed with the application is taken on record. **Ahlmad is directed to tag the said memo properly.**

To come up for PE on 10.06.2025 to 12.06.2025. Plaintiffs are directed to file affidavits in evidence of all his witnesses at least 15 days prior to next date of hearing with advance copy to other side.

Aashish Gupta  
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI  
22.04.2025