

SC No.395/2022
STATE Vs. MOHD. ARIF etc.
FIR No.996 /2014
PS (Khajuri Khas)

21.10.2024

Present: Sh. Ajit Kr. Srivastava, Chief PP for the State.

Accused Jaan Mohd. produced from JC (on bail in this case).

Accused Mohd. Arif and Akram on bail.

Accused Deen Mohd. @ Salman is absent.

Sh. Nadeem Khan alongwith Sh. Deepak Sharma,
Advocates for accused Mohd. Arif.

Sh. Rajesh Mittal, Advocate for accused Jaan Mohd.

Sh. Sanjiv Rathi, Advocate for accused Deen Mohd.
@ Salman.

Learned counsel for accused Deen Mohd. @ Salman has moved an application for exemption of accused Deen Mohd. @ Salman from his personal appearance for today stating that he is suffering from loose motion and he is unable to appear in the court.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, accused Deen Mohd. @ Salman is exempted for today.

Accused Jaan Mohd. stated that he is undergoing sentence in a case under section 307 IPC and requested that he may be allowed to be produced through video conferencing on the next date.

Contd2/....

At the request of accused Jaan Mohd., it is directed that accused Jaan Mohd. be produced from JC through video conferencing on the next date.

Witnesses Akbar Ali and Jaida Begum are absent despite service. Issue bailable warrants in the sum of Rs.5000/- each against witnesses Akbar Ali and Jaida Begum for the next date.

Retired IO SI Sahab Singh is present but he is discharged unexamined at the request of learned Chief PP as he states that he want to examine the IO after the examination of public witnesses.

Learned Chief PP for the State submits that he needs clarifications from IO ASI Vijender Singh.

IO ASI Vijender Singh be summoned for the next date.

Put up on 04.12.2024 for prosecution evidence.

(SARITA BIRBAL)
Principal District & Sessions Judge/N-E/
Karkardooma Courts/Delhi/21.10.2024