

24.08.2024

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with son of plaintiff.  
Ld. Counsel for defendant with defendant.

Counsel for plaintiff submits that plaintiff has expired.

In this case, defendant is the daughter of plaintiff and had sued the defendant on the ground that she is the absolute owner of the suit property. It was her case that defendant was allowed to reside at the ground floor of the property in question on permissive basis.

It is now claimed by Counsel for plaintiff that Kalawati has executed a Will in favour of her son namely Shrikishan and thus, Shrikishan is the owner of the suit property.

If the aforesaid is taken as correct, Shrikishan shall have to independently sue the defendant, in case he wants his sister/defendant to vacate the property. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some time to clarify the said issue.

List on 31.08.2024.

Aashish Gupta  
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI  
24.08.2024