

**IA No. 01/2021**  
**Mumrez Alam Vs. State**

**In re:-**  
**SC No. 280/2021**  
**FIR No. 200/2021**  
**PS: Seelampur**  
**U/s: 21/29 NDPS Act**

**10.11.2021**

Present: Sh. Vaibhav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for applicant.  
Sh. Masood Ahmad, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Submissions on the application heard.

By way of present application, applicant Mumrez Alam is seeking copies/certified copies of the document summoned by the Court while exercising powers u/s 91 Cr.PC. It is submitted on behalf of applicant that once the documents are called, the applicant is having right to get the copy of the documents as per law. In support of his contention, reliance is placed upon the cases 2021 SCC OnLine SC 329, Dinesh Puri Vs State (Govt of NCT of Delhi), CrI. Rev. P. 351/2016, decided on 23.09.2016 by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and Nitya Dharmananda & Ors Vs Gopal Sheelum Reddy & Ors, AIR 2017 SC 5846.

It is submitted by Ld. APP that the facts of the present case are different to the facts of the cases relied upon by the applicants. It is submitted that in the cases, the Hon'ble Courts have dealt with the situations where the documents were seized during the investigations and it was laid down that the

documents should be provided to the accused even if they are not relied upon by the prosecution but in the present case, it is the applicant himself who filed an application u/s 91 Cr.PC and the Court on said application asked the documents i.e. Location Chart of the Mobile numbers of police team to deal with the bail applications of the accused. It is submitted that while passing the orders on the said application, the Court was pleased not to disclose the telephone numbers of the police officials so that officials work of said police officials does not hamper due to disclosure of their telephone numbers. It is submitted that the location chart is called by the Court only to decide the bail application and if the copy of the same is provided to the applicant, there is likelihood of its misuse and the same will be intrusion of the privacy of the police officials. It is submitted that even law provides that the part of statement or documents filed by the investigating agency with its final report may be excluded from the copies to be granted to the accused in the interest of justice and public interest.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I found the submissions as made by Ld. APP having force and of the view that location chart as filed in compliance of order u/s 91 Cr.PC cannot be supplied to the applicant. Accordingly, application is dismissed.

Put up for arguments on the bail application for  
**24.11.2021.**

**(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)**  
**Special Judge (NDPS)/ASJ**  
**North East/ Delhi 10.11.2021**