

SC No. 343/2025
STATE Vs. Hitayatulla
FIR No. 277/2025
PS Shastri Park

16.04.2026

Present: Sh. Abhishek Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Ankush Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for the
accused/applicant.
IO SI Rajender Singh in person.

1. This is the bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Hitayatulla.
2. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for the applicant that same charge has been framed against both accused and co-accused is already on bail. Further, there is no previous involvement of applicant/accused Hitayatulla who is only 19 years of age.
3. Ld. Addl. PP for the State opposed the bail application.
4. I have considered the submissions of Ld. counsel and gone through the record.
5. The facts of the case are as per FIR which is registered on the complaint of injured Ganesh who stated that at around 10.30 pm while he was in his room, one Vikas called him telephonically and called him at Shastri Park Chowk. When he reached at the spot, he met Vikash, Gulshan and Mannu there. Gulshan and Vikash were engaged in some *kaha suni* with two other accused. When complainant tried to intervene then applicant/accused Hitayatulla

took out knife from his pocket and started giving knife blows to Gulshan, and when complainant tried to stop him he was also attacked with knife. Co-accused Gautam caught hold of Vikash and Mannu and started beating them with legs and fists. The name of two accused is not mentioned in the FIR but they were arrested later on and on their identification, their names are mentioned in section 161 Cr.P.C statement of complainant, other victims and witnesses.

6. There is MLC of Ganesh on record according to which he received simple injury while victim Gulshan received grievous injury.

7. It is the applicant who gave knife blows to victims. The incident happened on a public road accessible to the common public, yet applicant had no fear of law and order and injured the victims with knife blows. There is recovery of knife from him. Testimony of eye witnesses and injured are yet to be recorded.

8. In the overall circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant, hence the same is hereby **dismissed**.

9. Application stands disposed off accordingly.

10. Copy of this order be given dasti.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
16.04.2026/nk