

SC No.360/2022
State Vs.Naved
FIR No. 28 /2022
PS Shastri Park

26.07.2023

Present: Sh. Mahmood Ahmad, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Prem Chand Ganganiya, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant Naved.

1. Bail application for accused Naved has been moved by the ld. Counsel. Arguments have been heard.
2. The complainant/victim Sameer has not supported the case of prosecution and he has not identified the accused before the court and he has also been cross- examined by Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for the State but he still did not support the prosecution case.
3. Ld. Counsel for applicant submits that he is in custody since 11.01.2022 and sufficient period has been spent by him in custody. The accused has no previous involvement.
4. Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for the State opposed this bail application on the ground that a heinous offence has been committed by the applicant and as per the medical opinion, the victim suffered the grievous injury.
5. Considering overall circumstances, I admit the accused/applicant Naved to bail subject to furnishing personal/surety bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety. Copy of this order be given dasti.

(Savitri)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
26.07.2023