

CS 430/24

KRISHAN KUMAR Vs. VINAY KUMAR ARORA

23.02.2026

Present: Sh. Shrey Walia, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff on VC
with plaintiff in person.
Sh. Paras Nath Mishra – Ld. Counsel for defendants
no. 1 & 2 on VC.
Sh. Shivansh Chauhan, Proxy Counsel for
defendants no. 3 to 6.

Replication to the written statement of defendant no. 1 & 2
filed and no replication to the WS of defendants no. 3 to 6 is
proposed to be filed.

Affidavit of admission-denial of documents filed on behalf
of defendants no. 3 to 6, but similar affidavit has not been filed
either by the plaintiff or defendant no. 1 & 2. They are at liberty
to file the same before the next date after exchanging copies.

Since pleadings have completed. Hence, following issues
are hereby framed from the pleadings of the parties:-

ISSUES

- 1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of partition by metes and bounds or by any other mode to the extent of 2/7th share in the suit property i.e. land measuring 100 sq. yds. Out of khasra no. 385, bearing property no. B-10, Village Ghonda Gujaran Khadar, B-Block Gali No. 3, Bhajanpura, Delhi? OPP***
- 2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of permanent injunction for restraining the defendants from creating any third party interest in the suit property? OPP***

contd....

3. *Whether the suit is without any cause of action or is barred by any law? OPD-1 & 2*
4. *Whether the suit is under valued?OPD-1 & 2*
5. *Whether defendant no. 2 is the owner of the suit property by virtue of a gift deed executed in her favour by the defendant no. 1? OPD-1 & 2*
6. *Relief*

No other issue arises or is pressed for. Let affidavit in evidence be filed by the plaintiff within four weeks with advance copy to Ld. counsel for defendant.

Put up for PE on **01.04.2026**.

(SANJAY SHARMA-I)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
North-East District, KKD, Delhi/23.02.2026