

CS 400/24
SAROJ SINGH Vs. MOHD. TAHID

21.05.2025

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff through V.C. (who has not switched on his camera despite directions).

None for defendant.

An application has been moved U/O VI Rule 17 CPC. The same is stated to be in pursuance to order dated 16.11.2024. Perusal of the said application alongwith proposed amended plaint would show that plaintiff is not valuing all his reliefs sought in the proposed amended plaint.

At this stage, Counsel for plaintiff seeks an adjournment to move a fresh application U/O VI Rule 17 CPC and wants to withdraw the application filed today. The application filed today is dismissed as withdrawn. The proposed plaint filed with the application shall not form part of record. **Ahlmad is directed to tag the same as per rules.**

It has been 6 months since matter is being adjourned at the instance of plaintiff. Last and final opportunity granted to plaintiff to clarify in terms of order dated 16.11.2024 subject to cost of Rs. 5000/- to be deposited in DLSA (NE). This is in addition to the cost already imposed on plaintiff.

Put up for clarification and payment of cost on 23.05.2025.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
21.05.2025