

SC No. 338/2022
STATE Vs. Sonu @ Kishan Chand
FIR No. 617/2022
PS New Usmapur

20.05.2026

Present: Sh. Abhishek Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. counsel for applicants.

1. These are the two the bail applications filed on behalf of the applicants **Sonu @ Kishan Chand and Monu @ Naveen**.

2. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for the applicants that the matter is settled with victim Roshan and Neeraj, copy of settlement deed is filed with bail application. It is submitted that they are lying in JC since June 2022 i.e. 4 years approximately. Further, date is not mentioned in arrest memo of both accused. Also, reasons of arrest were not provided to both accused. Further, the cutter which is recovered cannot cause grievous injury.

3. The same is opposed by Ld. Addl. PP whereby submitting that injured have already deposed against accused and compromised cannot have any effect. Further, most of witnesses are examined including IO but one eye witness is still left to be examined.

4. I have heard both the sides and perused the record.

5. As per the case of prosecution, both applicants/accused who are brothers attacked victim Roshan with cutter blade whereby causing injuries on his abdomen, leg and head. Other victim Neeraj also suffered injuries. One eye witness Aftab is left to be examined in this case. One IO is examined and cross of other IO was deferred at

the request of Ld. Defence counsel.

6. Accused gave injuries to victims in a public place where other people also pass, shows that applicants had no fear of law and order. Further, one witness has already turned hostile and matter is stated to be compromised with two injured who had already supported the case of prosecution. Also, cross examination of victim Neeraj Sharma is deferred.

7. As far as the argument that date is not mentioned in arrest memo is concerned, the date of arrest is mentioned on arrest memo. Also, the argument that reasons of arrest were not provided nor family members were informed, this can be inferred from the proceedings before Ld. MM when accused were first produced before Ld. Court after arrest, but copies of the application moved before Ld. MM after first arrest and relevant order sheet is not filed alongwith bail application.

8. In the overall circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to admit them bail at this stage, hence the bail applications are hereby **dismissed**.

9. Application stands disposed off accordingly.

10. Copy of this order be given dasti.

11. Further, in order to expedite the evidence, issueailable warrant against Aftab in sum of Rs. 5000/- with one surety of like amount on the NDOH as he is not appearing despite being served. PW 4 Neeraj be also summoned for his evidence on the NDOH i.e. date already fixed.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/KKD/Delhi
20.05.2026/nk