

State Vs Rajwinder Singh @ Rarju

Present: APP for the State.
Sh. G.S. Dhaliwal Advocate for applicant.

Heard the arguments on regular bail. The learned counsel for the accused submitted that accused had been falsely implicated and he has no connection with the offence in question. He further submitted that on 22.09.2022, accused got absent during trial which led to the cancellation of his bail bonds and surety bonds. Thereafter, due to absence of the accused on successive dates of hearing, coercive legal action was taken against the accused and he was declared as proclaimed person by this Court vide order dated 21.01.2023. Now the accused has been arrested and is undergoing judicial custody in connection with this case. It is further stated that in view of the abovenoted circumstances the application for bail has been filed by the accused.

Heard. Judicial file is gone through. Bail order of accused is intact as per the record. Considering the stage of the proceedings at which the trial is pending, lenient view is taken, accused is now ordered to be released from judicial custody, subject to furnishing of fresh personal bonds in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Personal bonds and surety bonds have not been furnished. Release order be issued immediately. Now to come upon 21.02.2023 date already fixed.

Date of Order: 07.02.2023

Geeta Rani

(Anoop Singh),
SDJM/Sardulgarh
(PB0364).