

IA No. 06/2025
State v. Rohit Sharma
FIR No. 428/2022
PS Shastri Park

22.01.2025

Present: Sh. Vikas Kumar, Ld. APP for the State.
Sh. Mohit Jain, Ld. LAC for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Robin Kumar Vimal in person.

1. Arguments heard on the 2nd bail application filed on behalf of the accused / applicant Rohit Sharma.
2. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant that accused is lying in JC since 19.05.2022. It is submitted that other two co-accused are already on bail and public witnesses are already examined. Trial will take time and hence submitted bail may be granted to the applicant/accused.
3. It is submitted by IO that complainant had suffered major injuries due to the stabs given by knife by accused Rohit, as a result his intestine had come out of his stomach at the spot only.
4. It is submitted by Ld. APP that both eye witnesses have identified accused Rohit as the person who had given blows to victim Sachin with knife and he was the one who had robbed victim Manish of his mobile. In view of the specific role of accused Rohit, dismissal of application is prayed.
5. I have heard the Ld. APP as well as Ld. Counsel for the applicant and gone through the record.

6. In the present case, victim Manish was robbed by five accused persons including applicant Rohit Sharma. Two accused turned out to be juveniles. When victim Sachin tried to help Manish, accused Rohit Sharma had given blows with knife on his stomach as a result his intestine protruded out of his stomach at the spot. The manner of commission of crime shows that accused had no fear of law and order.

7. In view of the allegations against accused Rohit Sharma and manner of commission of crime, this Court is not inclined to grant him bail. Hence, the 2nd bail application of accused/applicant **Rohit Sharma is dismissed.**

8. The application stands disposed off accordingly.

9. The copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/KKD/Delhi
22.01.2025