

CA / 98/2026
DEVEN KUMAR SAHU Vs. POOJA DEVI
PS Bhajan Pura

28.07.2026

Present: Ld. counsel for the appellant.

1. TCR is received.
2. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that in the present case Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dt. 03.02.2025 has passed certain directions including the withdrawal of cases by wife. The same is not complied with, hence, the order passed by Ld. Trial Court is bad in law and stay of the order whereby awarding interim maintenance is sought to be stayed.
3. This Court has heard the submissions and gone through the record including TCR.
4. It is mentioned in the order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dt. 03.02.2025 that all the arrangements would be subject to the decision by Mahila Court where the matter is pending.
5. Perusal of the TCR would show that it is mentioned in order sheets that the terms of settlements mentioned by Hon'ble Delhi High Court were not complied with by the appellant. He did not keep two boys with him and

boys are in custody of mother / wife besides not following other directions of Hon'ble Delhi High Court pursuant to which Ld. Trial Court went on decide interim maintenance on merits.

6. In the circumstances as it is mentioned in TCR that terms of settlement were not complied with by appellant, question of staying the proceedings at this stage does not arise.

7. Application seeking stay is according dismissed.

8. Issue notice to the respondent for **10.09.2026**.

9. TCR be sent back and be summoned two day's prior before the NDOH.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
28.07.2026/nk