

EX 51/24
DEEPAK TOMER Vs. AMIT TOMER

26.05.2025

Present: Ld. Counsel for decree holder through V.C with DH in person.
None for JD.

Notice of application of arrest of JD has come back unexecuted with a report that mother of JD claimed that JD does not reside at the address where notice was sought to be served.

Counsel for DH submits that JD still resides at the same address and only to avoid execution of decree, he is refusing to receive the notice.

Considering the above, letter warrants of arrest be issued against the JD to be executed through SHO concerned, on filing of PF and subsistence allowance for 30 days.

List on 10.07.2025.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
26.05.2025