

MCA No. 08/2024
Ashok Panwar Vs. Fire Ram @ Firey Ram

19.09.2025

Present: Appellant in person alongwith Sh. Deepak Parashar,
Ld. Counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shishir Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has stated that his application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal is pending adjudication. He has stated that there has been a delay of 26 days in filing the appeal. It is stated that in the first week of August 2024, he had engaged a new counsel for filing the appeal. However, the father of the newly engaged counsel expired and therefore he could not file the appeal within the stipulated time. Thereafter, the present counsel was engaged who has filed the appeal.

Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent has stated that the entire application seeking condonation of delay is based on false and fabricated facts. He has strongly opposed the application. He has stated that the appellant has intentionally filed the appeal after much delay and the application seeking condonation of delay should not be allowed.

Heard. A pedantic approach cannot be taken by the Court in such like cases and an application seeking condonation of delay must be decided by taking a common sense and pragmatic approach.

The endeavour of the Court should be to ensure that all relevant material is allowed to be brought on record, which could effectively, conclusively and finally adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Therefore, to avoid the miscarriage of justice and for the

Contd/-...

-2-

advancement of the ends of justice, the abovesaid application is allowed subject subject to cost of Rs. 3,000/- to be paid by the appellant to the respondents. Application stands disposed of. List for arguments on appeal on **17.12.2025**.

(Gomati Manocha)
District Judge-02/North-East,
KKD Courts, Delhi/19.09.2025