

SC 252/2022
STATE Vs. ASHRAF
FIR No. 242/2022 PS (Shastri Park)

02.09.2025

Present: Sh. Abhishek Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Rohit Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the
accused Ashraf.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the
accused Arif.
Accused Ashraf in person (on bail).
Accused Arif is absent.
ASI Hari Singh and HC Deepak in person.

Exemption application has been filed on behalf of the accused Arif, signed by counsel Sh. Pratap Dhaka, however, there is no vakatalnama of this counsel is on record on behalf of the accused Arif. Hence, the exemption application is dismissed.

Let NBWs be issued against the accused Arif for the NDOH.

ASI Hari Singh and HC Deepak are present but discharged unexamined as one of the accused namely Arif is absent today.

An application has been received from office of Superintendent of Police, Hathras with request to record the testimony of witness SI Monveer through video conferencing. Copy be supplied to counsel for the accused persons.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the accused persons seek some time to file reply of the same.

Put up on 27.09.2025.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
02.09.2025/nk

At this stage, Sh. Pratap Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the accused Arif appeared and submits that his vakalatnam is on record and shown his vakalatnama.

In view of the above, exemption application dismissed earlier stands **allowed**. NBW issued against the accused Arif also stands **recalled**.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. 27.09.2025.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
02.09.2025/nk