

EX 43/23
MUKESH KUMAR Vs. SUMIT KUMAR

15.11.2025

Present: Sh. Pramod Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for decree holder with
decree holder.
Sh. Bharat Kashyap, Ld. Counsel for JD with JD.

Notice sent to Mr. Mohit Gupta has come back with his reply claiming that JD is neither working with him nor he has any concern with the JD. This clearly shows that JD had lied to this Court on oath on 28.10.2025. He has refused to make any payment even today despite his own statement in Court that he earns about Rs. 11000/- per month. It appears that JD has made false statement in Court on oath to mislead the Court.

In such circumstances, I am of the opinion that *prima facie* JD has violated Section Section 227 BNS r/w Section 229 and 236 BNS (as he has given false evidence/statements about his employment noted above). Thus, he is liable to be prosecuted under the aforesaid provisions in light of Section 215 (1)(b) BNSS r/w Section 379 BNSS.

Before proceeding in that direction, JD is given one opportunity to appear and show-cause as to why the said proceedings be not taken against him.

Copy of this order shall serve as notice to JD for filing his reply.

Arguments on objections filed by JD heard.

List on 17.11.2025 for filing of reply and orders on the objections of JD.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
15.11.2025