

SC No. 156/2024
STATE Vs. Jeeshan @ Haddi
(Bail application of accused Mohd. Maaz)
FIR No. 249/2024
PS Dayalpur

10.04.2026

Present: Sh. S.K. Tripathi, Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

1. This is the 2nd bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Mohd. Maaz.

2. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that applicant is falsely implicated in the present case. Applicant is lying in custody since 17.04.2024 and even eye witnesses have not been examined. Further, there is no independent eye witness to the incident. Photograph of the applicant was shown to the witnesses, hence TIP is vitiated. Investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed, hence he is not required in the judicial custody. No bail is pending before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

3. Ld. Subst. Addl. PP has opposed the bail application.

4. I have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel and gone through the record.

5. Facts of the case are that the victim and his two friends were robbed by the three assailants who came to his

Cond....

poultry farm, located at gali no.7, near Naala Road, Brijpuri, Delhi, on 10.04.2024 at about 10:30 am. The assailants were armed with pistol type weapons. In initial statement of victim, victim has mentioned just about three assailants, whereas in his supplementary statement recorded on the same day, he has stated that when the three assailants had gone out after robbing the victim and his friends, the victim followed them outside and victim saw that there were two more boys standing outside with a scooty and a motorcycle. The three assailants, rode on these vehicles with them. There was another scooty parked outside gali no.6. The other assailants asked that person also to follow them saying "*Bhag yahan se*". At that time, victim had noted the number of scooty i.e. DL5SC9794. During investigation, the said scooty was found to be registered in the name of accused Fardeen.

6. Applicant/accused Mohd. Maaz was correctly identified in TIP by Mohd. Sohaib, Sujauddin as well as Amir. PW2 Sujauddin also identified the applicant Mohd. Maaz in the Court. Further, the applicant/accused alongwith co-accused had committed robbery in a poultry farm during day time at around 10.30 am by showing pistol. They entered during day time which shows that they had no fear of law and order. Further, there is recovery of country-made pistol from the

Cond....

applicant Mohd. Maaz.

7. In view of the manner of commission of crime where they entered in a poultry farm during day time and committed robbery by showing pistol, applicant Mohd. Maaz was also identified in TIP and evidence of eye witness is yet to be examined, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant Mohd. Maaz, hence the same is hereby **dismissed**.

8. Copy of this order be given dasti.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
10.04.2026/nk