

SC 156/2024  
STATE Vs. Jeeshan @ Haddi  
FIR No. 249/2024  
PS Dayalpur

02.12.2025

Present: Sh. Abhishek Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
Sh. Sachin Kumar, Ld. counsel for the applicant.  
IO SI Jugnu Tyagi in person.

This is the bail application filed on behalf of the  
applicant/accused Jeeshan @ Haddi.

Arguments heard on bail.

Put up for orders on 04.00 pm.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)  
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi  
02.12.2025/nk

At 04.00 pm

Present: Sh. Abhishek Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for the state.  
None for the applicant.

**Order on bail**

1. It is submitted by counsel for the applicant that investigation is completed and he is no more required for investigation purposes. Further, PW2 Sujauddin has not identified the applicant in Court. It is further submitted that in FIR, it is not mentioned that three boys were standing outside while in statement u/s 161 of Cr.P.C, it was added on. Further, recovery is from open place and there is no public witness to the recovery. Ld. Counsel has placed reliance on judgment of **Surjit Kumar @ Shakir Ali @ Ganja Vs. State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi) dt. 18.01.2012**. It is further submitted that no bail is pending before

Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

2. Ld. Addl. PP for the State objects to the bail application.

3. I have heard both the sides and gone through the record.

4. Perusal of the file would show that applicant Jeesan @ Haddi was identified in TIP by complainant Sujauddin. Further PW2 Sujauddin identified one accused Mohd. Maaz in Court and stated that he had identified one accused in TIP. As per previous involvement report, accused Jeesan @ Haddi is involved in FIR No.279/2023 PS Shastri Park under section 392/394/34 IPC. He is also involved in FIR No.201/2024 U/s 307/34 IPC and section 27 Arms Act.

5. Facts of the case are that the victim and his two friends were robbed by the three assailants who came to his poultry farm, located at gali no.7, near Naala Road, Brijpuri, Delhi, on 10.04.2024 at about 10:30 am. The assailants were armed with pistol type weapons. In initial statement of victim, victim has mentioned just about three assailants, whereas in his supplementary statement recorded on the same day, he has stated that when the three assailants had gone out after robbing the victim and his friends, the victim followed them outside and victim saw that there were two more boys standing outside with a scooty and a motorcycle. The three assailants, rode on these vehicles with them. There was another scooty parked outside gali no.6. The other assailants asked that person also to follow them saying "*Bhag yahan se*". At that time, victim had noted the

number of scooty i.e. DL5SC9794. During investigation the said scooty was found to be registered in the name of accused Fardeen.

6. In view of manner of commission of crime where they entered the farm of complainant during day time at 10:30 am and robbed them of their property by showing pistol, in view of his identification in TIP and his previous involvement this Court is not inclined to grant him bail. The application moved on behalf of accused Jeesan @ Haddi is **dismissed**.

7. Application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing mentioned herein shall affect the merits of the case.

8. Copy of this order be given dasti and the counsel for the accused.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)  
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi  
02.12.2025/nk