

CS 187/23

KRISHAN KUMAR GARG Vs. PARMOD KUMAR
RATHORE AND ANR

17.07.2026

Present: Mr. Anil Anand, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for D-2. through V.C.
D-1 is ex-parte.

IA No. 2/26 (plaintiff's application seeking summoning of some bank official from Bank of Baroda).

Counsel for plaintiff submits that inadvertently some bank records could not be proved when plaintiff led his evidence. He argues that there is no defence of any of the defendants on record and grave prejudice shall be caused if plaintiff is not allowed to prove the bank statements by summoning bank witness. It is his argument that the statements sought to be proved are already part of record and only formal proof of the said statements is required to be led before this Court.

Considering the submissions made, the application is allowed. Let the documents/statements mentioned in the application in para 4 be summoned on filing of PF, returnable for 08.09.2026. Diet money to be paid on the spot.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
17.07.2026