

2 BAIL MATTERS 563/2026
THE STATE Vs. VEER
FIR No. 0077/2026
(Sonia Vihar)

10.07.2026

Present: Dr. Satish Shukla, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Mr. M. K. Singh and Shashi Kant, Ld. Counsel for
applicant/accused Veer.
IO ASI K. Prakash is present.
Complainant is absent despite service of notice through E-
mode.

1. This is an application for bail under section 483 BNSS moved on behalf of applicant Veer.
2. Reply to the application has already been filed. Copy of the same also stands supplied to the Ld. Counsel for applicant.
3. Submissions are heard. TCR is also perused.
4. In the instant case, the case FIR has been registered on the complaint of the complainant Renu Lamba for the commission of offence u/sec. 110 BNS as it is alleged that on 05.04.2026, at about 08;20 pm, when she was present in a street and talking to her neighbour, the present accused came there in an intoxicated state and upon her asking as to why he indulges in such bad activities, the applicant/accused got annoyed and suddenly hit her with a danda on her head.
5. While arguing on behalf of applicant and seeking his release on bail, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is stated that the accused is detained in judicial custody since 06.04.2026 and has already undergone judicial custody for more than three months. It is further submitted that the

investigation of the case is also already complete and charge-sheet has also been filed in court and there is no need of further judicial custody of the accused for any purpose.

6. It is also argued that the applicant also has no past criminal antecedents and is also ready to furnish sound and reliable sureties and to abide by all the terms and conditions which may be imposed by the court for the purpose of his release on bail.

7. Per contra, opposing the bail application, the Ld. Addl. PP for State has argued that in the present case the allegations leveled against accused are very serious in nature. It is further argued that though the investigation of the case is complete, however the matter is still in initial stage and testimony of the prosecution witnesses including the complainant is also yet to be recorded. It is submitted that considering the gravity of alleged offences and initial stage of the case, there is every likelihood that the accused after his release on bail may abscond or try to temper with prosecution evidence. Hence, it is prayed that the present bail application filed on behalf of accused may be dismissed.

8. I have already heard both the sides on the application in hand at length and have also carefully perused the judicial record. Admittedly the investigation of the case is already complete and till date no complaint has also been received against the accused that at any point of time he has ever tried to threaten the complainant/victim post the incident in question or has ever tried to temper with prosecution evidence in any manner. Further, from the perusal of the police complaint, it is also apparent that there was no past enmity between the parties and the incident in question also took place in the spur of moment and no further injury was caused to the complainant except giving a single danda blow

on her head. The applicant/accused also does not have any other past criminal antecedents and his further judicial custody is also not stated to be required for any other purpose.

9. As far as the allegations in question are concerned, the same are also subject matter of trial and the severity of punishment for the alleged offences alone can also not be considered as a sufficient ground to refuse the benefit of bail to the accused and to continue his detention behind the bars when further judicial custody of the accused as such is not required for any fruitful purpose.

10. Accordingly, considering the totality of the facts and circumstance of the case and that the investigation of the case is also already complete, the court is of the opinion that the accused is entitled to be released on the bail.

11. The application in hand moved on behalf of applicant/accused, accordingly, stands allowed. The applicant/accused Veer is directed to be admitted to regular bail subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:-

(i) He shall furnish a personal bond of **Rs. 20,000/- with one surety** of the like amount, to the satisfaction of Ld. Trial Court/Ld. JMFC/Link JMFC.

(ii) He shall not leave the country without the permission of this Court.

(iii) He shall not try to tamper the evidence or hamper the trial or try to influence the remaining witnesses, in any manner.

(iv) He shall furnish his present and permanent address with supporting documents along with an affidavit/undertaking to inform any change thereof without delay to the SHO concerned

and this Court.

(v) He shall attend the trial without any single default.

12. However, it is clarified here that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression or opinion of the court on the merits of the case.

13. Copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent, for necessary intimation to the applicant/accused.

14. Copy of the order be given dasti to both the sides on request.

(BALWINDER SINGH)
ASJ (FTC)/North-
East/KKD Courts/
Delhi/10.07.2026