

CS 191/23  
MAMTA Vs. PAWAR KUMAR GUPTA

30.01.2025

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.  
Lalita [D-1(i)] in person.  
D-2 to D-6 already ex-parte.

Hema and Himanshi are the two other LR's of D-1/Pawan. Lalita is their mother but despite request of this Court, she has not given the address of her daughters and claims that she is not aware of their address. Thus, the last known address available on record qua Hema and Himanshi is the address of D-1/Pawan Kumar (since deceased).

Accordingly, let the said LR's namely Hema and Himanshi be served at their last known address through affixation on filing of PF, returnable for 02.04.2025. Let them also be served through publication in Virat Vaibhav on filing of publication charges.

At this stage, Counsel for plaintiff points out that defendants are in the process of selling the suit property and he presses his application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC.

Let parties maintain a status quo qua title and possession of the suit property till next date of hearing. Signatures of Counsel for plaintiff and Lalita are taken on this order-sheet in this regard.

Let dasti be also given.

Aashish Gupta  
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI  
30.01.2025