

Bail Application No.1813/2026

State vs. Deepak

FIR No.218/26

U/S 316(2)/318(4) BNS

P.S.Lajpat Nagar

19.06.2026

Present:- Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Anubhav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused appearing through VC.
IO/ HC Ravi Kumar in person.

1. Today, matter is fixed for consideration on regular bail application under Section 480 BNS for seeking bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant.

2. Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same is taken on record. Copy supplied.

3. Arguments on bail application heard.

4. It is stated by IO that complaint was filed on 05.06.2026 and thereafter FIR was registered on 05.06.2026. It has been alleged by complainant that he was running a saloon shop in the area of Lajpat Nagar and on 06.05.2026, his employee namely Deepak had taken his credit card and left for the native village. Further on 14.05.2026, an amount of Rs.80,500/- had been debited from his account which was allegedly used by accused Deepak. Upon the aforesaid complaint, investigation ensued and during investigation it was found that accused/applicant Gaurav Singh had used the SBI Credit Card belonging to complainant which he had taken from other co accused persons namely Deepak and Anish and other accused persons namely Manish and Aakash. Further that the amount of Rs.80,500/- was spent by accused/applicant for purchase of a car.

5. It is argued on behalf of Ld. Counsel for accused that accused is in JC since 11.06.2026. Further that the co-accused Gaurav Singh has already been granted bail on 17.06.2026. It is further argued that the credit card of complainant was handed over to accused/applicant for day to day

business by the complainant and was in his possession, but he had handed over the same to co-accused Gaurav, upon trust but the same was misused by co-accused Gaurav. It has been argued that accused be granted bail as no recovery has to be effected from the accused and co accused Gaurav has already been paid an amount of Rs.70000/- to the complainant on 17.06.2026.

6. It is argued by Ld. Addl. PP for State that accused/applicant is the main accused in the present matter who was employed with the complainant and had committed cheating with complainant. It is further argued that accused/applicant Deepak had taken the credit card of complainant on 06.05.2026 and thereafter, in connivance with other co accused persons misused the same on 14.05.2026. It is argued that investigation in the present matter is at nascent stage and no ground of bail is made out at this stage.

7. I have heard Ld. Counsel for accused, Ld. Addl. PP for State and perused the record.

8. Perusal of the record shows that accused/applicant is the main accused in the present matter who was apprehended on 11.06.2026. Investigation is at nascent stage and chargesheet in the present matter is still not filed. Further, the accused/applicant being the employee of complainant had committed the offence with intention to cheat the complainant and therefore, no ground for bail is made out at this stage.

9. **In view of the aforesaid, the bail application of accused/applicant Deepak Somwanshi S/o Jai Singh Somwanshi stands dismissed.**

10. **Application disposed off accordingly.**

Order dasti.

[Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan]
Vacation Judge/ASJ-02,
South-East/Saket/Delhi/19.06.2026 (vk)