

Ex. 112/22

THE JAMMU AND KASHMIR BANK LTD
Vs. PUSHPA MITTAL AND ANR

13.09.2024

Present: Sh. Anil Kumar Gupta and Sh. Uday Shankar,
Ld. Counsel for decree holder.
Sh. Rishabh Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for JDs

Mr. Tripathi appearing before the Court, is continuously fiddling with his phone and is not addressing the court. I strongly deprecate such practice. He is warned to be careful.

JDs have filed objections U/O XXI Rule 58 CPC wherein they claim that they are willing to pay the outstanding amount provided the order dated 03.01.2024 is recalled. No amount has been paid till date despite such assurance of JDs made in the objections. Accordingly, there is no merit in the objections. They are dismissed.

Despite directions, JDs have neither appeared in person nor have they filed their affidavit of assets. Counsel for JDs has not offered any reason for such non-appearance. It appears that non-appearance of the JDs is deliberate.

Still, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to JDs to comply with order dated 07.09.2024. For today's adjournment, JDs to pay Rs. 15,000/- to the decree holder.

List on 21.09.2024.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
13.09.2024