

**SC No. 129/2026**  
**STATE Vs. Sonu Sharma**  
**FIR No. 83/2026**  
**PS Bhajanpura**

**03.06.2026**

Present: Sh. Abhishek Pandey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
Sh. R.L. Rajora with Ms. Anjali Rajora, Sh. Vineet  
Kumar Jha, Ld. Counsel for the accused  
Complainant with Sh. Ankush Raghav, Ld. counsel.

1. This is the bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sonu Sharma.
2. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for the applicant that it is a matrimonial dispute and he is falsely implicated. It is further submitted that applicant is lying in JC since 3 month and he has not caused any injury and is falsely implicated in this case. Further, injury is stated to be simple in nature.
3. On the other hand, Ld. counsel for the complainant submits that complainant has received injuries on her entire neck and received suture in two layers, hence injury is not simple. It is further submitted that 6 months back, applicant had thrown acid on complainant after which she made PCR call at PS Bhajanpura. As she received minor injuries and at the intervention of police officials, matter was compromised. But again applicant attacked her. It is further submitted that at the time when applicant attacked on her, they were residing separately for the last 4-5 days. Further, complainant has apprehension of her life. It is further submitted that only because there is dispute between husband and wife, it

does not allow husband to attack his wife and attempt to murder her.

4. I have heard the submission of both the sides and considered through the record.

5. There are allegations in the complaint that husband keeps on threatening the complainant. Further, photographs is shown to the Court and complainant has shown her scar to the Court as she is present today. There is a long scar on the main part of the neck. Further, injury on vital part like neck can be fatal as well. Further, there is apprehension being expressed that after becoming free from jail, he may again attack the complainant. Further, attacking someone on neck which is vital body part itself shows mindset of the accused/applicant. He had no fear of law and order. Further, evidence of complainant is yet to be recorded.

6. In view of the overall circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant, hence the same is hereby **dismissed**.

7. Application stands disposed off accordingly.

8. Copy of this order be given dasti.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)  
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi  
03.06.2026/nk