

Hearing through Video Conferencing by Cisco Webex Meeting App

Shanu Vs. State

In re: -

FIR No. 100/2019

PS: Crime Branch

U/s: 21/29 NDPS Act & 55/177 MV Act

27-10-2020

Present: Sh. Amit Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
Sh. Ashok Kumar, Ld. Add. PP for the State.

Reply to the bail application is received through mail.
Submissions on the application are heard.

The applicant is in custody in the present case since 17/04/2019 and he is seeking interim bail for a period of 30 days on the submissions that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has liability of his wife, 2 minor children and they are facing a lot of financial problem and are at the stage of starvation due to absence of the applicant. It is submitted that investigation of the case has already been completed and the charge sheet has been filed before the court. It is submitted that applicant undertakes not to misuse the relief granted by the court and will follow the due process of law.

The bail application is opposed with the submissions that the applicant was apprehended with the co-accused and from the search of their motorcycle one-kilogram heroin in two packets was recovered, however, no incriminating material was recovered from his personal

search taken before the gazetted officer. It is submitted that the contraband recovered is of commercial quantity and the applicant may jump the bail hence the same is strongly opposed.

Considering the facts of the case, quantity of the contraband recovered, I found that no case is made out to admit the applicant on interim bail on the grounds as pleaded. The application is dismissed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)
Special Judge (NDPS)/ASJ
North East, Delhi 27-10-2020