

CS 98/18  
MUMTAJ BANO Vs. KHURSHID ALAM

21.04.2025

Present: Sh. K.R. Rana, Ld. Counsel for plaintiffs alongwith P-1.  
Ld. Counsel for D-1, D-3, D-4 and D-8.  
Ld. Counsel for D-2, D-5 and D-7.  
Ld. Counsel for proposed D-9 (through LRs) and  
proposed D-10.

Mediation between the parties has failed.

An application moved by plaintiffs U/O I Rule 10 CPC is pending adjudication and parties pray that the said application may be allowed thereby impleading D-9 (through her LRs) and D-10 to this suit.

Considering the submissions made and in light of observation made in order dated 27.07.2024, it appears that impleadment of the aforesaid parties is necessary for adjudication of the present case as the said persons are LRs born from the wedlock between Abdul Haye and Hamida and are thus, necessary parties to the suit.

Accordingly, the present application is allowed and D-9 (through her LRs) and D-10 are impleaded as parties to this suit. The amended memo filed with the application is taken on record. **Ahlmad is directed to properly tag the same.**

An SPA on behalf of LRs of D-9 and another SPA on behalf of D-10 filed. Copy supplied. The same are taken on record.

Copy of paper book is stated to have been already supplied to Counsel for D-9 and D-10. **Let LRs of D-9 and D-10 file their written statement within 30 days from today with advance copy to the other side.**

**Plaintiff is called upon to clarify in terms of order dated  
27.07.2024.**

To come up for clarifications on behalf of plaintiffs and  
completion of pleadings on 27.07.2024.

Aashish Gupta  
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI  
21.04.2025