

16.05.2024

Present: Ld. counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Ld. counsel for defendants except Ajay and Gunjan.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has filed an amended memo of parties. Copy supplied.

The defence of defendant Ajay has already been struck off. Today, counsel for defendants point out that there is no person by the name of Gunjan and therefore no WS on her behalf was filed by the other defendants. He claims that the summons were received in bulk by defendant no. 3 and therefore by mistake, the summons sent to Gunjan was also received by him. He claims that there is no person by the name of Gunjan and thus there is no question of he representing the said Gunjan.

Per contra, counsel for plaintiff insists that there is a person called Gunjan who is minor and in custody of defendant Manju. Let Manju appear in person on NDOH.

At this stage, arguments on application under Order VIII Rule 1A CPC moved by defendants heard. After some arguments, counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no objection in case the said application is allowed subject to cost. Accordingly, the application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.2000/- to be paid to plaintiff. Cost not paid.

To come up for clarifications and further proceedings on 24.05.2024.

AASHISH GUPTA
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
16.05.2024 sb