

CS 105/23
SON KALI Vs. KALAWATI AND ORS

17.03.2025

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with daughter of plaintiff.
Ld. Counsel for all defendants (except Ajay).

Perusal of record shows that multiple memo of parties have been filed in this case by the plaintiff. Counsel for plaintiff submits that the last memo filed by him which is now exhibited as Ex. X is the correct memo of parties. The same is accepted as correct by Counsel for defendants.

Perusal of record would show that due to multiple memos filed, in the last order-sheet dated 04.01.2025, reference is made to one D-5 (who is stated to be ex-parte in the said order-sheet). But, there is no D-5 in the matter and it is Ajay (who is arrayed as 2 (iv)) is the person who was proceeded ex-parte in this case.

I may note that the same Ajay is referred in the order-sheet dated 12.07.2024 as D-2 (v). To put an end to the controversy **it is clarified that only Ajay is ex-parte in this case and henceforth Ex. X shall be treated as memo of parties for reference.**

Plaintiff has filed an affidavit in evidence. Copy supplied.

List for PE on 05.06.2025.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
17.03.2025