

CS95/22

SH. NAND KISHORE SAXENA, SR. CITIZEN Vs. SMT.
MADHU SAXENA @ SUMAN SAXENA

03.02.2024

Present: Sh. Nipun Kumar, ld. counsel for plaintiff.
Defendant no. 6 is ex-parte.
None for defendant no. 1.
Defendant no. 2 to 5 are yet to be served.

Perusal of record reveals that WS of defendant no.1 was filed. Replication qua the same is already on record.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that he will take necessary instructions from plaintiff regarding defendant no. 5 and shall do the needful till NDOH.

Summons issued upon defendant no. 2 to 4 through ordinary means not received back. Be awaited. The tracking report of speed post reveals that the summons were not served.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that an application under Section 156 CrPC was filed before the concerned Ld. MM in which the ATR has been filed. It is submitted that he will inspect that file and shall see as to whether the Inquiry Officer recorded any statement of defendant no. 2 to 4 or not and from there might be the fresh address of defendant no. 2 to 4 get surfaced.

At request, put up on 04.03.2024.

(KAPIL KUMAR)
ADJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
03.02.2024 sb