

Bail Matter No. 291/2026
State Vs. Sudhir Panchal
FIR No. 389/2025
PS: Karawal Nagar
U/s: 85,316(2),3(5) BNS

06.04.2026

Present: Sh. Prabhat Kumar, Ld. Counsel for applicant
/accused Sudhir Panchal.
Sh. F. M. Ansari, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
IO SI Parminder in person.

1. Reply filed. Copy supplied.
2. I have heard the arguments.
3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that the applicant/accused is husband of the complainant and he has been falsely implicated in the present case, solely on account of the relationship with the complainant, without any specific or independent role being attributed to him. Ld. Counsel has also submitted that the applicant/accused is a law abiding citizen of India and permanent resident of the given address, and he has never been involved in any criminal case, prior to the present false implication.
4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has further submitted that the applicant/accused is having apprehension of his arrest in the present false case, even though, he has no concern with the crime as alleged in the present case. The applicant/accused has already joined the investigation of the present case and he is further ready to join the investigation, as and when called by the IO and prayed that the applicant/accused may be granted anticipatory bail in the present case. The applicant/accused also undertakes to fully co-operate with the IO and abide by all the terms and conditions as imposed by this

Hon'ble Court.

5. In his reply, IO has submitted that during course of investigation, the present applicant/accused has already joined the investigation on 29.03.2026 and he was interrogated and bound down in the present case. IO has also submitted that there is no requirement of any custodial interrogation of the present applicant/accused at this stage, however, the investigation is still going on.

6. In view of the above, since, the applicant/accused has already joined the investigation in the present case and as admitted by the IO himself, that at this stage, there is no requirement of custodial interrogation of the present applicant/accused and he has been bound down in the present case, the present application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sudhir Panchal stands disposed of with direction to the IO to follow the guidelines already issued vide the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar, 2014 (8) SCC 273** and **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau Of Investigation And Anr., Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 5191 of 2021** and to give atleast two weeks prior notice to the applicant/accused, in case any requirement of his arrest arises.

7. Copy of this order be given dasti to the parties.

(PUNEET PAHWA)
Special Judge (NDPS)/Addl. Sessions Judge/
North East/KKD Courts/Delhi/06.04.2026