

CS227/23

MEERA RANI Vs. ROJUDDIN @ SAHIL

19.09.2024

Present: Sh. Manmohan Swaroop, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. M. Rehman, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Defendant has moved an application U/O VIII Rule 1 A(3) CPC to bring on record his bank statement. The same is objected to on the ground that the matter is at the stage of evidence and no document can be brought on record at this stage. After some arguments, Counsel for plaintiff submits that the bank statement may be taken on record subject to heavy cost.

Even though, there is delay in moving of the present application, but evidence in this matter is yet to be recorded and plaintiff can be compensated with cost for the delay. Accordingly, the bank statement filed with the application is taken on record, subject to cost of Rs. 5000/- to be paid to plaintiff.

Cost imposed today as well as previous cost paid to plaintiff.

Affidavit in evidence of plaintiff/Meera Rani and her husband Alok Kumar are already on record and they have been given witness No. PW-1 and PW-2. They are not present today.

PW-3/Sh. Anand Kumar, ICICI Bank examined, cross-examined and discharged. Diet money paid to the witness.

List for further PE on 21.09.2024. Date of 20.09.2024 is cancelled.

Aashish Gupta
DJ-01/NE/KKD/DELHI
19.09.2024