

CS No. 119/24  
Deepak Kumar vs. Devrat Sharma

12.01.2026

Present: Father of plaintiff with Ms. Anita Dhingra, advocate.  
Sh. Shaurya Sethi, counsel for defendant no.1.  
Sh. Ajit Singh, advocate for defendant no. 2 in VC.  
Sh. Abhisekh Kumar, counsel for defendant no.3  
MCD.

Counsel for defendant no.1 stated that the suit property belonged to his mother Smt. Madhubala and she had already sold the same on 19.06.2024. He can file the copy of the sale documents in favour of purchasers Sh. Adesh Kumar & Sh. Kapil.

It is stated by counsel for MCD that no factory was found running in the suit property having permission to do so. At present admittedly no factory is being run, so the application under order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC is dismissed being infructuous.

It is found after going through the plaint that on the verification clause of the plaint, signature of the plaintiff is not put and even affidavit filed with the plaint is incomplete being printed on the last page of the plaint.

Counsel for plaintiff wants time to remove the deficiencies.

Put up this matter on **19.01.2026** for further proceedings and also for filing of the sale documents by counsel for defendant no.1.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
North-East District, KKD Delhi/12.01.2026