

CS 119/24

DEEPAK KUMAR Vs. DEVRAT SHARMA

10.10.2025

Present : Ms. Anita Dhingra, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Shaurya Sethi, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1.
None for other defendants.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has filed an application under Order 5 Rule 20 r/w Section 151 CPC for service by way of publication.

Heard. File perused.

Summon issued to defendant no.2 for 18.03.2025 has been received back unserved with report that a lady stating herself as sister-in-law(Bhabhi) of Virender Kumar Yadav met who informed that addressee is not residing at that address.

Notice issued to defendant no.2 through speed post for 18.03.2025 has also been received back undelivered with report "no such person at the address".

Notice issued to defendant no.2 through process server for 13.05.2025 has been received back unserved with report that a lady namely Sunita who disclosed herself as owner of the property met and informed that the property was purchased by her from Jitender Kumar Yadav and she does not know anyone namely Virender.

It is submitted in the application that the applicant does not know current address of defendant no.2 and could not find out the fresh address of defendant no.2 despite efforts.

Application is supported with the affidavit of the plaintiff Deepak Kumar.

In view of the submission made in the application duly supported with the affidavit and the reports on the process issued to defendant no.2, I am satisfied that defendant no.2 cannot be served by ordinary process. Hence, the application is allowed. The defendant no.2 be served by way of publication in English daily newspaper "The Statesman" and Hindi daily Newspaper "Veer Arjun" on taking steps within two days on PF, returnable on **24.11.2025**. Application is disposed of accordingly. On request, process be issued dasti.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
North-East District, KKD Delhi/10.10.2025