

EX 34/25

RAKESH KUMAR Vs. RAJEEV GOSWAMI

12.02.2026

Present: Sh. Nitin Kumar Gaur, Ld. Counsel for DH with DH in person.
Sh. RD Maurya, Ld. Counsel for JD with JD in person.
Sh. Shivam, Ld. Counsel for Smt. Neha Sharma with Smt. Neha Sharma in person.

Smt. Neha Sharma is present. She has been examined under Order 10 Rule 1 CPC. She submits that she does not know the DH. She further stated that the JD made certain payments in her account but that were in respect of some transaction between him and her father-in-law Sh. Satish Kumar. On being asked from the JD, he denies having any knowledge about Sh. Satish Kumar. It is informed by Smt. Neha that her father-in-law Sh. Satish Kumar had expired last year.

The JD is directed to produce his bank passbook on the next date for the relevant period when he states that he had transferred the amount in the account of Smt. Neha.

Put up for further proceedings on **18.02.2026**.

(SANJAY SHARMA-I)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
North-East District, KKD, Delhi/12.02.2026