

Bail Matters: 235/2026
State Vs. Ruby
FIR No. 41/2026
PS: Karawal Nagar
U/s: 498A(a),406,506,34 IPC &
Sec. 4 DP Act

24.03.2026

Present: Sh. N. K. Sharma and Ms. Anamika Singh,
Ld. Counsels for the applicant/accused.
Sh. Indresh Gupta, Ld. Sub. Addl. PP for the State.
IO/PSI Manish in person.

1. Reply filed. Copy supplied.
2. I have heard the arguments.
3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that the applicant/accused is a peace loving and law abiding citizen of India and is permanent resident of the given address and she is sister-in-law (Jethani) of the complainant, namely, Smt. Shobha @ Neetu, who has lodged a false complaint against the applicant/accused and her other in-laws on the basis of false, fabricated and cooked up story. Ld. Counsel has also submitted that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The applicant/accused is having apprehension of her arrest in the present false case, even though, she has no concern with the crime of the present FIR. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused has already joined the investigation in the present case and she is further ready to join the investigation, as and when called by the IO and prayed that the applicant/accused may be granted anticipatory bail in the present case. The applicant/accused also undertakes to fully cooperate with the IO and abide by any conditions as imposed by this Hon'ble Court.

4. On enquiry, IO has submitted that till date, as per the complaint, the offences u/s. 406, 498-A & 506 IPC and Sec. 4 of D. P. Act are attracted and the applicant/accused has already joined the investigation in the present case. He has also submitted that at this stage, there is no requirement of any custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused, however, the investigation is still going on. IO further acknowledges that in case, her involvement surfaces in the present case and if any necessity arises for arrest of the applicant/accused, 7 days notice will be given to her.

5. In view of the above, since, the present applicant/accused has already joined the investigation in the present case and as admitted by the IO himself, that at this stage, there is no requirement of custodial interrogation of the present applicant/accused, the present application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Ruby stands disposed of with direction to the IO to follow the guidelines already issued vide the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar, 2014 (8) SCC 273** and **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau Of Investigation And Anr., Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 5191 of 2021** and to give atleast 7 days prior notice to the applicant/accused, in case any requirement of her arrest arises.

6. Copy of this order be given dasti to the parties.

(PUNEET PAHWA)
Special Judge (NDPS)/Addl. Sessions Judge/
North East/KKD Courts/Delhi/24.03.2026