

**Bail Matters: 211/2026**  
**State Vs. Rakesh Kumar**  
**FIR No. Not Known**  
**PS: Karawal Nagar**  
**U/s: Not Known**

**14.03.2026**

Present: Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant  
/accused Rakesh Kumar.  
Sh. F. M. Ansari, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
IO/SI Harsh Vardhan in person.

1. The case is listed for hearing arguments on the application for grant of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rakesh Kumar.

2. Reply filed. Copy supplied.

3. Arguments heard.

4. In his reply, IO has submitted that the applicant /accused was only summoned through notice just to join enquiry in the timed complaint and to provide relevant documents. As the complaint is under enquiry stage and no FIR has been lodged till now, so there are no grounds to arrest the applicant at this stage.

5. In view of the above, since, the present applicant /accused has already joined the investigation in the present case and as admitted by the IO himself, that at this stage, there is no requirement of custodial interrogation of the present applicant /accused, as no FIR has been registered against the applicant /accused, the present application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rakesh Kumar stands disposed of with direction to the IO to follow the guidelines already issued vide the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Arnesh Kumar**

**Vs. State of Bihar, 2014 (8) SCC 273 and Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau Of Investigation And Anr., Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No. 5191 of 2021 and to give atleast 10 days prior notice to the applicant/accused, in case any ground of his arrest arises.**

6. Copy of this order be given dasti to the parties.

**(PUNEET PAHWA)  
Special Judge (NDPS)/Addl. Sessions Judge/  
North East/KKD Courts/Delhi/14.03.2026**