

07.04.2017

Present: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Advocate for the plaintiff with plaintiff in person.
Sh. N. Pandey, Advocate for the defendant no. 1.
Ms. Pushp Gupta, Advocate for defendant no. 2.

Reply on behalf of defendant no. 2 to the application under Order VI Rule VII and order VI Rule 16 r/w section 151 CPC filed. Copy given.

Part arguments on the application heard on behalf of the plaintiff and defendant no. 2. Plaintiff had given cost through cheques to the counsel for the defendants of Rs. 10,000/- each as per directions of dated 18.01.2017.

Counsel for the defendant no. 2 raised objection regarding use of the word 'Counsel for' appearing in para no. 2 (vi) of the application under Order 6 Rule 7 and under Order 6 Rule 16 filed by the plaintiff on 08.03.2017 on the ground that it amounts to personal attack on her. Counsel for the plaintiff stated that it was inadvertently mentioned and has no objection if the word 'Counsel for' is deleted as there was no intention to make any personal allegation against the counsel. Accordingly, the word 'Counsel for' appearing in para no. 2 (vi) of the above application is ordered to be deleted.

Put up for further arguments on 03.05.2017 at 2.30 PM.

(ASHWANI KUMAR SARPAL)
Addl. Distt Judge(NE)-01
07.04.2017