

04.01.2017

Present: Plaintiff with Ms. Amrita, advocate.
Sh. N.Pandey, counsel for defendant no.1.
Ms. Pushp Gupta, counsel for defendant no.2.

Counsel for defendant no. 1 admitted that certain facts are added/deleted in the latest written statement beyond the amendment carried out by the plaintiff in compliance of the High Court order. He has no objection if the replication to his written statement is taken on record.

Receipt of Rs.10,000/- deposited as cost filed by the plaintiff.

Counsel for the defendant no.2 has cited case law **1994 (31) DRJ 205** and argued that replication without permission of the court cannot be taken on record. She has objection for filing the replication with some documents against her written statement.

Put up this matter on 18.01.2017 for arguments on the point whether replication qua defendant no.2 can be taken on record or not and put up for orders on the pending application also.

(ASHWANI KUMAR SARPAL)
Addl.Distt Judge(NE)-01
04.01.2017