

9 CS No. 83/16
Vandana Srivastava Vs. Neetu Jain

03.05.2025

Present : Ms. Amrita Mishra and Sh. Vivek Sharma, Ld.
Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Sh. Manish Gandhi, Sh. Ankit, Sh. Alok Kothari,
Ms. Rishika Nagpal, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.
1.
Sh. C.M. Grover, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2.

An application U/o VII Rule 11 (a), (b), & (c) r/w Sec. 151 CPC on behalf of defendant no. 2 already filed. Copy supplied. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 also submits that he also intends to file the application U/o VII Rule 11 within course of day as according to him prayers raised by plaintiff are not properly valued. Though, copy of application supplied to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has strongly objected to any oral submissions and undertaken to file detailed reply, in these circumstances, put up for reply/ arguments on the abovesaid applications on **26.07.2025**.

At this stage, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that even on earlier occasion defendant no. 2 has filed an application U/o VII Rule 11 which was later on withdrawn by Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2 on his own.

(Manu Vedwan)
District Judge-02/North-East,
KKD Courts, Delhi/03.05.2025