

CS No. 83/16
Vandana Srivastava Vs. Neetu Jain

19.03.2024

At 10:10 AM

Present : None for plaintiff.

Sh. Manish Gandhi & Sh. Ankit, Ld. Counsel for
defendant no. 1.

None for defendant no. 2.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 submits that he has to
rush to the Hon'ble High Court and further submits that he has already
filed his reply of the application of the plaintiff for
correction/modification order dated 10.08.2023 and accordingly, his
part submissions on the application of the plaintiff heard.

Awaiting the Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. Put up for second
call.

(Manu Vedwan)
ADJ-02/North-East, KKD Courts,
Delhi/19.03.2024

At 11:20 AM

Present : Ms. Amrita Mishra, Ld. counsel for plaintiff alongwith
plaintiff.

None for defendant no. 1.

Sh. Rahul Dhama defendant no. 2.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff as well as plaintiff
has appeared and got recorded their separate statements that they have
never given no objections with respect to the present application of
defendant no. 1 U/o XVIII Rule 2 r/w Sec. 114 and 115 for recall of
order dated 11.04.2018 but give their no objection to the application
defendant no. 1 filed under O 7 Rule 14 which was decided that day
itself. In these circumstances, as none for the defendant no.1 is present
now despite call put up for the appearance of the defendant no.
1/further submissions if any/clarifications on **08.04.2024**.

(Manu Vedwan)
ADJ-02/North-East, KKD Courts,
Delhi/19.03.2024